Report of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics to the University Council November 29, 2012

Members (2011-2012): Rodney Mauricio (Chair), Tony Capomacchia, Robert Moser, Alan Campbell, Lonnie Brown, Peggy Kreshel, Margaret Robinson, David Shipley (Faculty Athletics Representative), Carla Williams (Athletic Director Representative, Ex-Officio), Meredith Martin and Jason O'Rouke (student representatives).

This is our summary report covering meetings since our last report to Council in August, 2011, and covering the activities of 2011-2012.

The committee met on August 24, 2011 and elected Rodney Mauricio as Chair of the committee. All of the committee members had previously served on this committee, so very little effort was allocated to educating the committee on the academic workings of the athletics department.

One of the primary responsibilities of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics is to review the academic mission of the athletics department. In order to execute this responsibility, the committee met on April 24, 2012 with three groups: head coaches, academic support staff and student-athletes. We met with each group separately and discussed the academic issues each group faced.

The twelve head coaches present were uniformly positive about the performance of the academic support team and about the balance between athletics and academics for student-athletes. Concerns were raised about PE credit for athletes (whether participation on a team satisfies the UGA PE requirement). As in past years, coaches raised questions about some inflexibility on behalf of instructors with respect to test-taking, attendance policies, timely grade feedback. The coaches emphasized that the vast majority of faculty and academic departments are supportive of student-athletes. There were questions about whether student-athletes should be provided excused absences for athletic travel since the student-athletes are traveling on university business. Coaches also expressed some frustration with the withdrawal policy since student-athletes must take 12 credits or endanger eligibility. Students were previously advised to take 15 credits and then withdraw from a class if the schedule was too difficult, but that strategy is not possible when students are restricted to only 4 withdrawals.

The entire academic support staff was present for the meeting and expressed general satisfaction with the system as it is presently operating. Staff mentioned that class scheduling was a major hurdle for student-athletes, although summer school was helpful in keeping students on track. The staff also had some specific issues with scheduling classes in the Grady College, with KINS 2010 and some communication studies classes. An especially problematic issue arises in trying to schedule student teaching for student-athletes in the College of Education. Again, although most faculty are flexible with respect to student-athletes travel, there are persistent issues that arise. The issue of excused absences came up here as well. The staff was also interested in seeing how new distance education technology might be helpful in keeping student-athletes connected to courses while they travel. The committee is always impressed with the professionalism and sincere concern the academic support staff has for the academic performance of student-athletes.

Finally, we met with a large group of student-athletes from a variety of sports. As always, student-athletes at UGA come across as an impressive group of students who work very hard in a demanding academic climate. Students expressed some concerns about the scheduling conflicts that arise between athletics and classes, especially with respect to labs and studio classes. Classes in Grady were particularly problematic since there are few classes not taught in the afternoon. There were many examples of students being limited to specific majors because scheduling conflicts were too cumbersome. Student-athletes also feel like they are being forced to take online classes to complete requirements and that taking classes online is a common practice among student-athletes. They also point out that getting across campus can be challenging and that the athletic department attendance checkers often leave too early. Students also complained of often being "stuck in the middle" between coaches and advisers and teachers.

Another function of the CIA is to monitor and report on the academic progress of the studentathletes. David Shipley, the Faculty Representative to the NCAA, provided some data on academic performance and these are attached to this report.

The committee is satisfied that the Athletic Association and academic support staff do an excellent job of supporting and monitoring student-athletes. Greg McGarity, the Athletic Director and his support staff, as well as the coaching staff, seem committed to a high academic standards.

Respectfully submitted

Rodning Marricio

Rodney Mauricio, PhD Chair, Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics

UGA Graduation Success Rate Improves To Record 81% for All Student-Athletes

Oct. 25, 2012

ATHENS --- University of Georgia student-athletes graduated at a rate of 81% in the latest NCAA Graduation Success Rate (GSR) survey period according to figures released Tuesday by the RELATED CONTENT NCAA. That's up from 79% a year ago and is the highest graduation percentage for the Buildogs since the GSR was implemented in 2005.

In the GSR four-year average rate, University of Georgia athletic teams improved in nine sports including men's basketball, football, men's golf, men's swimming and diving, women's basketball, women's cross country/track and field, women's golf, softball, and equestrian.

Five sports remained the same and three experienced small declines. Georgia had a record five teams score a perfect 100 percent - men's golf, women's golf, gymnastics, softball, and women's tennis.

Among Southeastern Conference schools, Georgia was first in six sport categories- 2005 football freshmen (86%), softball (100%), gymnastics (100%), women's golf (100%), women's tennis (100%), and women's track and field (95%). Georgia was second among SEC schools in soccer (95%) and men's swimming (90%). The Buildogs finished among the top six SEC schools in 12 sports.

The four-year average rate includes not only freshmen but transfers in and out of the institution. The current report tracks the freshman classes of 2002-2003-2004-2005.

"It's gratifying to see that our student-athlete graduation rates continue to improve each year and that 14 of our 17 sports improved or remained the same," said UGA Director of Athletics Greg McGarity. "Our coaches and academic support staff deserve credit for their daily focus on the academic progress and well-being of all our student-athletes. The annual report is encouraging and there is still room for more improvement. All our coaches and staff are committed to that effort."

2012 UGA Graduation Success Rate Report

Sport	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Baseball	61	62	65	63	64	62
Men's Basketball	19	23	18	36	43	50
Men's Cross Country/Track	45	67	69	68	79	67
Football	41	48	57	68	65	69
Men's Golf	78	75	88	89	86	100
Men's Swim/Dive	88	92	100	100	89	90
Men's Tennis	83	88	82	73	75	60
Women's Basketball	80	79	79	77	77	92
Wms Cross Country/Track	69	64	72	75	85	95
Women's Golf	67	71	86	88	88	100
Women's Gymnastics	93	92	100	100	100	100
Soccer	90	89	85	92	95	95
Softball	73	74	82	91	95	100
Women's Swim/Dive	90	91	84	86	88	88
Women's Tennis	100	100	100	100	100	100
Volleyball	91	100	92	91	92	92
Women's Equestrian	- 5	20	91	68	72	73

August 23, 2011

TO: Greg McGarity David Shipley Head Coaches Senior Staff Academic Counselors Senior Staff Tanner Stines Emily Dietz

FROM: Glada Horvat

The attached information is a GPA ranking of all sports for grades earned during the 2010-11 academic year. The information shows the winners of the "Faculty Athletics Representatives Award", which recognizes the male and female teams with the highest grades for the year. The winners are men's cross country and women's swimming. Congratulations to both teams!

Winners of Faculty Athletics Representatives Award

1992-93	Men's Swimming	Women's Volleyball
1993-94	Men's Track	Women's Golf
1994-95	Men's Golf	Women's Golf
1995-96	Men's Tennis	Women's Golf
1996-97	Men's Tennis	Women's Golf
1997-98	Men's Tennis	Women's Golf
1998-99	Men's Tennis	Women's Swimming
1999-2000	Men's Tennis	Women's Tennis
2000-01	Men's Tennis	Women's Swimming
2001-02	Men's Golf	Women's Volleyball
2002-03	Men's Golf	Women's Equestrian
2003-04	Men's Golf	Women's Soccer
2004-05	Men's Golf	Women's Golf
2005-06	Men's Golf	Women's Swimming
2006-07	Men's Golf	Women's Gymnastics
2007-08	Men's Golf	Women's Gymnastics
2008-09	Men's Golf	Women's Golf
2009-10	Men's Swimming	Women's Swimming
2010-11	Men's Cross Country	Women's Swimming

Academic Report:

Board Secretary and Faculty Athletics Representative David Shipley highlighted some of the individual academic awards received Georgia's student-athletes in 2011-12 including achievements by Drew Butler, Wendy Trott, J.P. Hackney, Aaron Murray, Ty Frixx, Aron White, Chris Burnette, and Noel Couch. The Board materials included a long list of Academic All-Americans. He said that he had presented the FAR Award to Gymnastics at the Buffalo game in recognition of that team's impressive 3.46 GPA, highest among all men's and women's teams. He also presented the Eaves Awards to swimmer Andrew Gemmel and equestrian Maria Salazar. They are the rising seniors with the highest GPA's among all of UGA's male and female athletes.

Shipley then asked the Board to look at the final 2011-12 grade point rankings for Georgia's teams. The GPA for all sports was 3.00, up from 2.96 in 2010-11; the men had improved to 2.84 from 2.80; and the women went from 3.10 in 2010-11 to 3.16 in 2011-12. The teams with the greatest improvement from 2010-11 to 2011-12 were gymnastics, women's cross country, equestrian, men's tennis and football.

He completed his report by discussing his meetings with SAAC, commenting about the changes at Rankin-Smith, proctoring exams for student-athletes, and reviewing proposed NCAA legislation with the Association's senior administrators.

FACULTY ATHLETICS REPRESENTATIVES AWARD STANDINGS 2011-12 Rankings of Team GPAs

Men	's Sports	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Year	
1.	Cross Country	3.23 (1)	3.39 (1)	- 3.31 3.37	
	Cross Country Tennis	2.875 (5)	3.33 (2)	+ 3.13 2.92	
2.		2.878 (4)	3.20 (3)	- 3.04 3.17	
	Swimming	C11.109.207-01.018.2080.01	3.04 (4)	-2.98 3.00	
4.	Baseball	the second se		-2.94 2.90	
5.	Golf	2.89 (3)	2.98 (5)	-2.81 2.32	6
6.	Track	2.86 (6)	2.75 (7)	+2.74 - 2.6	5
7.	Football	2.67 (7)	2.80 (6)		54
8.	Basketball Total	2.64 (8) 2.78	2.65 (8) 2.89	-2.65 +2.84 2.3	6
	1 chai				
Won	nen's Sports	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Year	
L.	Gymnastics	3.38 (1)	3.52 (1)	+ 3.46 3.24	
2.	Cross Country	3.30 (2)	3.38 (2)	+ 3.34 3.19	
3.	Equestrian	3.22 (3)	3.304 (5)	+ 3.26 3.17	
4.	Swimming	3.14 (5)	3.34 (3)	- 3.24 3.30	
5.	Track	3.16 (4)	3.307 (4)	- 3.23 3.07	
6.	Soccer	3.07 (6)	3.01 (7)	- 3.05 3.14	
7.	Golf	2.90 (9)	3.06 (6)	+2.988 2.52	-
8.	Volleyball	3.04 (7)	2.93 (9)	- 2.986 3. 2.	3
9.	Tennis	2.95 (8)	2.99 (8)	- 2.97 2.93	3
10.	Softball	2.85 (10)	2.75 (11)	-2.81 2.99	
11.	Basketball	2.57 (11)	2.90 (10)	+ 2.73 2.6	0
550	Total	3.10	3.20	+ 3.16 3.10	>
AllS	ports	2.95	3.05	3.00 2.96	
	ber of Students		1.223	2.10	
Men		277	268		
Wom	2.2	271	265		
Total		548	533		
	4.0 (percentage)				
Men		2 (0.7%)	6 (2.2%)		
Wom	211	10 (3.7%) 12 (2.2%)	<u>11 (4.2%)</u> 17 (3.2%)		
Total	3.50-3.99 (percentage)				
Men	and the second second second	43 (15.5%)	54 (20.1%)		
Wom	en	78 (28.8%)	79 (29.8%)		
Total		121 (22.1%)	133 (25.0%)		
	3.0 or Above (percentage)	22:00:00:00:00	1721111211010		
Men	energy and a second	106 (38.3%)	121 (45.1%)		
Wom		167 (61.6%)	175 (66.0%)		
Total		273 (49.8%)	296 (55.5%)		



FACULTY ATHLETICS REPRESENTATIVES AWARD STANDINGS 2010-11 Rankings of Team GPAs

Men	s Sports	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Year
1.	Cross Country	3.36 (1)	3.37 (1)	3.37
2.	Swimming	3.19 (2)	3.16 (2)	3.17
3.	Baseball	2.91 (4)	3.07 (3)	3.00
4.	Tennis	2.80 (7)	3.04 (4)	2.92
5.	Golf	2.92 (3)	2.88 (6)	2.90
6	Track	2.85 (5)	2.89 (5)	2.88
6. 7.	Basketball	2.81 (6)	2.87 (7)	2.84
8.	Football	2,51 (8)	2.69 (8)	2,60
89 - E	Total	2.74	2.86	2.80
Won	nen's Sports	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Year
1.	Swimming	3.26 (1)	3.34 (1)	3.30
2.	Gymnastics	3.24 (2)	3.23 (3)	3.24
3.	Volleyball	3.22 (3)	3.25 (2)	3.23
4.	Cross Country	3.21 (4)	3.16 (6)	3.19
5.	Equestrian	3.11 (5)	3.22 (4)	3.17
6.	Soccer	3.10 (6)	3.19 (5)	3.14
7.	Track	3.06 (7)	3.08 (7)	3.07
8.	Tennis	2.94 (8)	3.01 (9)	2.98
9.	Softball	2.87 (10)	3.02 (8)	2.94
10.	Golf	2.88 (9)	2.75 (10)	2.82
11.	Basketball	2.54 (11)	2.65 (11)	2.60
14.	Total	3.06	3.13	3.10
All	Sports	2.91	3.00	2.96
Num	iber of Students			
Men		273	257	
Won		276	267 524	
Tota	1	549	324	
	d 4.0 (percentage)	5 (1.8%)	8 (3.1%)	
Men		8 (2.9%)	11 (4.1%)	
Won Tota		13 (2.4%)	19 (3.6%)	
Tota	il 3.50-3.99 (percentage)		2000000000	
Men		37 (13.6%)	44 (17.1%)	
Wor	nen	62 (22.5%)	74 (27,7%)	
Tota	d	99 (18.0%)	118 (22.5%)	
	al 3.0 or Above (percentage)	112 (41.0%)	120 (46.7%)	
Men		158 (57.2%)	157 (58.8%)	
Wor		270 (49.2%)	277 (52.9%)	
Tota	44 ·	PLA LANTANI	and the second	

≱
Golf
GSR
Ŧ
(4-year
rate
2002-2005)
2

100	GEORGIA TECH
50	14 Arkansas
35	13 Auburn
67	12 Texas A & M
70	11 150
75	10 Mississippi State
78	9 Tennessee
80	South Carolina
80	Kentucky
8	6 Alabama
82	5 Florida
8	4 Mississippi
100	Vanderbilt
100	Missouri
100	1 Georgia

M. Track GSR (4-year rate 2002-2005)

76	GEORGIA TECH
63	14 Arkansas
66	13 Texas A & M
70	Tennessee
70	Mississippi
70	10 Florida
71	USI 6
73	8 Auburn
78	7 Georgia
82	6 South Carolina
83	5 Alabama
84	4 Kentucky
88	3 Missouri
68	2 Mississippi State
100	1 Vanderbilt

29	1	GEORGIA TECH
	NA	Vanderbilt
	NA	Mississippi State
	NA	Mississippi
	NA	Arkansas
59	F	0 Texas A & M
56		9 Tennessee
6	F	8 Auburn
120	t	South Carolina
8	F	6 Florida
88	F	5 Kentucky
88		4 Missouri
8		ISU
90		2 Georgia
ig	F	1 Alabama

	NA	GEORGIA TECH
83		14 Tennessee
86	-	13 Florida
88	F	12 Vanderbilt
89	F	11 LSU
100		Texas A & M
10	-	South Carolina
100	F	Missouri
100	F	Mississippi State
100	F	Mississippi
100	F	Kentucky
100	F	Auburn
100		Arkansas
100	-	Alabama
100	F	1 Georgia
2005)	2002-	W. Golf GSR (4-year rate

M. Te 2 te 2002-2005)

4

	NA	GEORGIA TECH
	NA	Vanderbilt
	NA	Texas A & M
	NA	Tennessee
	NA	South Carolina
	NA	Mississippi State
	NN	Mississippi
8	F	8 Alabama
16		Missouri
19	Γ	6 LSU
92		Florida
92		4 Auburn
93		3 Arkansas
100		Kentucky
100		1 Georgia

	NA	GEORGIA TECH
76	-	14 ISU
54	$\left \right $	13 Tennessee
85	-	12 South Carolina
87		11 Texas A & M
88	-	Mississippi State
88	+	9 Florida
8		Auburn
90	┝	7 Arkansas
91	-	6 Kentucky
92	-	Vanderbilt
92	-	4 Missouri
95	-	Mississippi
95		2 Georgia
100		1 Alabama

W. Tennis GSR (4-year rate 2002-2005)

91	GEORGIA TECH
83	14 Mississippi State
96	13 Tennessee
80	ISU
88	11 Auburn
68	10 Texas A & M
100	Vanderbilt
100	South Carolina
100	Missouri
100	Mississippi
100	Kentucky
100	Florida
100	Arkansas
100	Alabama
100	1 Georgia

9	Kenturku
91	4 Alabama
92	3 Mississippi State
94	2 Tennessee
95	1 Georgia
2002-2005	/. Track GSR (4-year rate 20
84	GEORGIA TECH
A	Vanderbilt NA
73	13 Kentucky
8	12 Arkansas
83	11 ISU
87	10 Mississippi
88	9 Auburn
90	8 Tennessee
93	Texas A & M
93	6 South Carolina
95	5 Missouri
100	Mississippi State
100	Florida
100	Alabama
100	1 Georgia

GEORGIA TECH	14 Auburn	13 Texas A & M	12 LSU	11 Mississippi	10 Arkansas	9 South Carr	Vanderbilt	7 Missouri	6 Florida	Kentucky	4 Alabama	3 Mississipp	2 Tennessee	1 Georgia	V. Track GSR (4-year
TECH		M				olina						State			rate
5 6	72	76	29	81	83	84	88	88	68	91	91	92	94	95	2002-2005

100		GEORGIA TECH
	NA	Vanderbilt
	NA	Mississippi State
	NA	Mississippi
88	F	Texas A & M
00 00		ISU
88		Auburn
00 00		Arkansas
88		7 Georgia
92	Γ	Tennessee
92		Kentucky
92	Γ	Alabama
93		Florida
96		2 South Carolina
100		Missouri

92	-	GEORGIA TECH	
-	z	4 Vanderbilt	
56	-	3 Aubum	
77	H	2 Missouri	1
82	-	1 Mississippi State	1
88		0 Kentucky	
90	-	9 Texas A & M	
91	-	8 Mississippi	
92	-	ISU	
92		Arkansas	
92	┝	5 Georgia	
100	⊢	4 Tennessee	185
100	-	3 South Carolina	1980
100		2 Florida	
100	\vdash	1 Alabama	

80	Mississippi State	
80	ISU	
81	Georgia	
82	Florida	
84	Missouri	w
58	Alabama ·	N
91	Vanderbilt	

т
8
ž
g.
Ľ.
0
ŝ
70
3
Т
a
₽.
Ξ.
<u>ei</u> -
6
N
8
2
i.
ö
8
-

8 Kentucky 9 South Carolina 1 Mississippi 11 Auburn Texas A&M 13 Tennessee 14 Arkansas GEORGIA TECH

55	GEORGIA TECH
55	South Carolina
55	13 Arkansas
58	12 Tennessee
59	11 Mississippi
60	10 Mississippi State
5	9 Auburn
65	8 Kentucky
69	Texas A & M
69	6 Georgia
73	5 Missouri
75	Florida
75	3 Alabama
11	2 ISU
28	1 Vanderbilt

GEODERIA TECH	14 Florida	13 Arkansas	12 Mississippi State	11 Georgia	0 Tennessee	9 Mississippi	8 South Carolina	7 LSU	6 Missouri	5 Auburn	4 Texas A&M	3 Alabama	2 Kentucky	1 Vanderbilt
18	17	25	29	50	55	56	58	60	67	67	71	17	78	92

2 2

8
ă.
ŭ
Ξ
Ξ.
ŭ,
1
Ē.
3
в.
3
÷
긆
N
8
ŭ

20	
20	14 Mississippi
8	13 Arkansas
46	12 South Carolina
50	11 Mississippi State
50	10 LSU
x	9 Florida
56	8 Auburn
57	7 Tennessee
57	6 Kentucky
54	5 Alabama
67	4 Missouri
74	3 Texas A & M
84	2 Vanderbilt
86	1 Georgia

	\$
	Baske
	tball
	GSR
	4-year
	rate
	2002-20
J	05

	GEORGIA TECH
	14 Alabama
	13 Texas A&M
	12 Arkansas
	11 Auburn
	Missouri
	Mississippi
	8 Georgia
100	Vanderbilt
	Tennessee
-	South Carolina
	Mississippi State
	ISU
	Kentucky
1	1 Florida

Baseball GSR (4-year rate 2002-2005)

7	GEORGIA TECH
U.	14 Tennessee
v.	13 Auburn
61	12 Arkansas
en.	11 Georgia
66	10 Mississippi
68	Texas A&M
68	8 South Carolina
7	7 USU
7	6 Missouri
7	Kentucky
7	4 Florida
7	3 Alabama
9	2 Vanderbilt
92	1 Mississippi State

		Equestrian GSR (4-year rate 2002-2005) 1 South Carolina 96 2 Texas A & M 77 3 Auburn 75 4 Georgia 73
	*2	002-2005) 96 77 75 73